1	BEFORE THE ILLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION						
2	ILLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION						
3	ILLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION ) DOCKET NO. On Its Own Motion ) 09-0543						
4	-vs-						
5	MT. CARMEL PUBLIC UTILITY COMPANY )						
6	Reconciliation of revenues )  collected under gas adjustment )						
7	charges with actual costs ) prudently incurred. )						
8	practica, ,						
9	Springfield, Illinois May 12, 2010						
10							
11	Met, pursuant to notice, at 10:00 a.m.						
12	BEFORE:						
13	MR. LARRY JONES, Administrative Law Judge						
14	APPEARANCES:						
15	MR. ERIC BRAMLET KOGER & BRAMLET, P.C.						
16	316 ½ Market St. PO Box 278						
17	Mt. Carmel, IL 62863 Ph. (618) 263-3502						
18	(Appearing via teleconference on						
19	behalf of Mt. Carmel Public Utility Company)						
20							
21	SULLIVAN REPORTING COMPANY, by Carla J. Boehl, Reporter						
22	CSR #084-002710						

1	APPEARANCES: (Continued)
2	MS. MARY H. EVERSON Financial Analysis Division
3	527 East Capitol Avenue Springfield, Illinois 62701
4	Ph. (217) 785-5425
5	(Appearing on behalf of Staff of the Illinois Commerce
6	Commission)
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	

1			I N D	E X		
2						
3	WITNESS	<u> </u>	DIRECT	CROSS	REDIRECT	RECROSS
4	(None)					
5						
6						
7						
8						
9						
10						
11						
12						
13						
14			EXHIE	BITS		
15	(None)				MARKED	ADMITTED
17						
18						
19						
20						
21						
22						

## 1 PROCEEDINGS

- JUDGE JONES: Good morning. I call for hearing
- 3 Docket Number 09-0543. This is titled Illinois
- 4 Commerce Commission on its own motion versus Mt.
- 5 Carmel Public Utility Company, reconciliation of
- 6 revenues collected under gas adjustment charges with
- 7 actual costs prudently incurred.
- At this time we would ask the parties
- 9 to enter your respective appearances orally for the
- 10 record. In doing so, please give us your business
- 11 address and phone number. We will start with
- 12 appearances on behalf of Mt. Carmel Public Utility
- 13 Company.
- 14 MR. BRAMLET: Your Honor, this is Eric Bramlet
- 15 appearing on behalf of Mt. Carmel Public Utility
- 16 Company. My mailing address is P.O. Box 278, Mt.
- 17 Carmel, Illinois 62863, telephone number
- 18 (618) 263-3502.
- 19 JUDGE JONES: Thank you. Commission Staff?
- 20 MS. EVERSON: Mary H. Everson with the
- 21 Financial Analysis Division of the Public Utilities
- 22 Bureau of the Illinois Commerce Commission. My

- 1 address is 527 East Capitol Avenue, Springfield,
- 2 Illinois 62701. My phone number is (217) 785-5425.
- 3 JUDGE JONES: Thank you. Are there other Staff
- 4 appearances to be entered? Let the record show there
- 5 are not, at least for today's purposes.
- 6 Are there any other appearances? Let
- 7 the record show there are not.
- 8 I believe Staff and Mt. Carmel have
- 9 come to agreement on some scheduling to be posed at
- 10 this time. Does one of you want to read into the
- 11 record what that schedule is?
- 12 MS. EVERSON: I can do it.
- 13 Staff direct testimony would be due on
- 14 August 4, 2010.
- We would have a status hearing on
- 16 August 11, 2010.
- 17 Company would file rebuttal September
- 18 8, 2010.
- 19 Staff rebuttal would be filed October
- 20 6, 2010.
- 21 Company surrebuttal, October 20, 2010.
- 22 And an evidentiary hearing on October

- 1 27 at 10:00 a.m.
- I think the only thing we left out was
- 3 the timing of the status hearing. I would suggest
- 4 10:00 o'clock for that also, if everyone is
- 5 agreeable.
- 6 JUDGE JONES: Thank you. Mr. Bramlet, does
- 7 that work for you?
- 8 MR. BRAMLET: That schedule is acceptable, Your
- 9 Honor.
- 10 JUDGE JONES: Let the record show that that
- 11 schedule is hereby approved and it is put into place
- in this proceeding.
- I assume those testimony filing dates
- 14 are dates on which the testimony will be circulated
- 15 electronically to the other party and to me, is that
- 16 right?
- 17 MS. EVERSON: Correct.
- 18 MR. BRAMLET: And, Your Honor, if there are no
- 19 contested issues, I assume that possibly the status
- 20 hearing on August 11 is a potential evidentiary
- 21 hearing or is that not anticipated?
- JUDGE JONES: Is that Staff's understanding

- 1 also?
- 2 MS. EVERSON: That is.
- JUDGE JONES: Yes, that option will be kept
- 4 open. If there are no contested issues, the option
- of using the August 11 hearing as an evidentiary
- 6 hearing will be made available.
- 7 MR. BRAMLET: Did I hear you say that that
- 8 August 11 would be at 10:00 o'clock?
- 9 JUDGE JONES: Yes.
- 10 MR. BRAMLET: Thank you, Your Honor.
- 11 JUDGE JONES: I think that might cover the
- 12 bases then for purposes of today's prehearing
- 13 conference. Let me make sure. Do the parties have
- 14 anything else before we conclude the prehearing
- 15 conference?
- MS. EVERSON: Not for Staff.
- 17 MR. BRAMLET: I have nothing, Your Honor.
- JUDGE JONES: At this time let the record show
- 19 this prehearing conference is over. In accordance
- 20 with the above, this matter is continued to a hearing
- 21 date of August 11 at the hour of 10:00 a.m. Thank
- 22 you.

1	(Where	ıpon	the	hearin	ng .	in	this
2	matter	was	cont	inued	un	til	-
3	August	11,	2010	), at 1	LO:	0 0	a.m.
4	in Spri	ingfi	eld,	Illir	noi	s.)	
5							
6							
7							
8							
9							
10							
11							
12							
13							
14							
15							
16							
17							
18							
19							
20							
21							
22							